

EXHIBIT 5

Excerpts of Deposition of Nathan Quarry

Sept. 30, 2016

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Cung Le, Nathan Quarry, Jon Fitch) Case No: 2:15-cv-01045-RFB(PAL)
Brandon Vera, Luis Javier Vazquez,)
and Kyle Kingsbury on behalf of)
themselves and all others)
similarly situated,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Zuffa, LLC, d/b/a Ultimate)
Fighting Championship and UFC,)
Defendants.)

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF NATHAN QUARRY
taken at 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800,
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101, beginning at 9:09 A.M.
and ending at 4:59 P.M. on Friday, September 30, 2016

Reported by:

Sarah Padilla
CCR NO. 929

Job No. 270538
Pages 1-297

1

Page 14	Page 16
<p>1 MR. ISAACSON: Yes.</p> <p>2 MR. CRAMER: What's the time period of</p> <p>3 your question?</p> <p>4 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>5 Q Did you discuss filing a lawsuit against</p> <p>6 Zuffa with other plaintiffs in this case before you</p> <p>7 spoke about it with Mr. Macy?</p> <p>8 MR. CRAMER: And how are you defining</p> <p>9 plaintiffs in this case, just so the witness</p> <p>10 understands what you mean?</p> <p>11 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>12 Q Do you know who the named plaintiffs are</p> <p>13 in this case?</p> <p>14 A Yes, I know who the named plaintiffs are.</p> <p>15 Is that what you're asking?</p> <p>16 Q Yes.</p> <p>17 A Or every single fighter who ever fought.</p> <p>18 Is that also included in the question?</p> <p>19 Q I would call those class members. They</p> <p>20 are not plaintiffs in this case.</p> <p>21 A Okay.</p> <p>22 Q Did you discuss filing a lawsuit against</p> <p>23 Zuffa with other plaintiffs in this case before you</p> <p>24 spoke about that with Mr. Macy?</p>	<p>1 they were treated?</p> <p>2 A Every fighter I spoke to. I spoke to a</p> <p>3 lot of fighters over a lot of years. Not every</p> <p>4 fighter I spoke to did we engage in a conversation</p> <p>5 about whether or not they were happy or unhappy of</p> <p>6 their treatment of employment with Zuffa. But quite</p> <p>7 a few were. It's generally common knowledge that</p> <p>8 fighters were unhappy with how they were being</p> <p>9 treated.</p> <p>10 Q All right.</p> <p>11 A Never once did I talk to a fighter that</p> <p>12 said, "Man, do I love working for Zuffa. They treat</p> <p>13 me great."</p> <p>14 Q All right. Is it your testimony that</p> <p>15 every fighter that you talked to before this lawsuit</p> <p>16 was filed about how Zuffa treated fighters was</p> <p>17 unhappy with how Zuffa treated the fighters?</p> <p>18 MR. CRAMER: Asked and answered. Just</p> <p>19 answered that question.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: As I said, I can't speak</p> <p>21 for -- that would be speculation on the part of what</p> <p>22 every fighter thought, whether or not they were</p> <p>23 happy.</p> <p>24 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p>
14	16
25 MR. CRAMER: Objection. Foundation.	25 Q No. I'm not asking you what fighters
Page 15	Page 17
<p>1 Form. You can answer.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: I'm thinking. I don't think</p> <p>3 so.</p> <p>4 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>5 Q All right. Did you discuss filing a</p> <p>6 lawsuit with other fighters against Zuffa about</p> <p>7 changing Zuffa's business policies and how they</p> <p>8 treated fighters before this lawsuit was filed?</p> <p>9 A Yes, I'm sure I did.</p> <p>10 Q And how many fighters did you discuss that</p> <p>11 with?</p> <p>12 A I don't know. It's been a lot of years, a</p> <p>13 lot of fighters.</p> <p>14 Q Can you give me an estimate?</p> <p>15 MR. CRAMER: Don't speculate.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'd have to</p> <p>17 speculate. Generally, every fighter I came in</p> <p>18 contact with was unhappy with the ways things were</p> <p>19 done. Whether or not that resulted in a "somebody</p> <p>20 should file a lawsuit" conversation or not, I don't</p> <p>21 recall.</p> <p>22 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>23 Q All right. So is it your testimony that</p> <p>24 before this lawsuit was filed that every fighter</p>	<p>1 thought. When you spoke to fighters about how Zuffa</p> <p>2 treated fighters, is it your testimony that every</p> <p>3 one of them told you they were unhappy?</p> <p>4 MR. CRAMER: Asked and answered. He just</p> <p>5 answered that question. Objection.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: As I said, every time I</p> <p>7 spoke to a fighter about how they were treated, I</p> <p>8 think generally for the most part they were unhappy.</p> <p>9 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>10 Q So to be clear, when you spoke to fighters</p> <p>11 about how Zuffa -- before the lawsuit was filed,</p> <p>12 when you spoke to fighters about how they were being</p> <p>13 treated by Zuffa, you think that generally for the</p> <p>14 most part they were unhappy?</p> <p>15 A I'd say --</p> <p>16 MR. CRAMER: Asked and answered.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: I agree. Generally, they</p> <p>18 were unhappy. A lot of times they were uneducated</p> <p>19 in a lot of ways, so you can be -- if you're a</p> <p>20 starving man and you're given a crust of bread,</p> <p>21 you're pretty happy. So quite often the fighters,</p> <p>22 whether they were happy or not, they may not have</p> <p>23 actually understood what the market would allow them</p> <p>24 to have and how they could be treated in a free</p>
15	17
25 that you spoke to about Zuffa was unhappy with how	25 market.

1 A If the association is called upon to help
 2 in such matters, I think having all the fighters
 3 speak with one voice would definitely be helpful as
 4 opposed to each fighter standing alone.

5 Q All right. And am I correct that you --
 6 that one relationship you see between the antitrust
 7 lawsuit and your goal of an association is that if
 8 the antitrust lawsuit is successful, the association
 9 would be a good organization to renegotiate the
 10 contracts?

11 MR. CRAMER: Asked and answered. Form.

12 THE WITNESS: It's speculation. I see in
 13 all the other professional leagues that a players'
 14 association has been very helpful in helping defend
 15 the players' rights.

16 MR. CRAMER: Do you need a break?

17 THE WITNESS: No, I'm good.

18 MR. ISAACSON: That is one of the rules of
 19 these things. Any time you need a break, just say
 20 so.

21 THE WITNESS: Do I need a break?

22 MR. ISAACSON: No, you're doing great.
 23 What he's referring to is when does the coffee hit.

24 MR. CRAMER: You just looked a little

46

25 uncomfortable.

1 of things, when you're trying to work in an
 2 organization and you are trying to think about your
 3 own career, those are things that you should not be
 4 bullied into being forced to do. Otherwise you are
 5 going to be punished.

6 My goal for the lawsuit is definitely
 7 damages for everything that has happened in the past
 8 and to change the way Zuffa does business moving
 9 forward.

10 BY MR. ISAACSON:

11 Q And I'll come back to those points you
 12 just made. But can you tell me what is your
 13 understanding of how you will benefit if the
 14 antitrust lawsuit is successful?

15 A How I will benefit if the antitrust
 16 lawsuit is successful. Well, I'm not a part of the
 17 bout class. My fights were outside of that
 18 timeline. I am a part of the identity class. My
 19 fights are shown 24 hours a day on the UFC website.
 20 Trading cards are sold, video games are sold. At
 21 the start of every UFC pay-per-view, they show me
 22 getting knocked out, so I assume that still has some
 23 value to them. These are things I was never able to
 24 negotiate. No fighter is able to negotiate because

48

25 they're all handed the same cookie cutter contract

1 THE WITNESS: Oh, it's just my back.

2 MR. CRAMER: That's why I --

3 THE WITNESS: As long as I can move around
 4 I'm okay for the most part.

5 MR. CRAMER: Keep moving around, then.

6 BY MR. ISAACSON:

7 Q So if the antitrust lawsuit is successful,
 8 what is your understanding of any benefit you
 9 individually will receive?

10 A The antitrust lawsuit, me personally, I'm
 11 here as a class representative of those that are
 12 still fighting and those that will fight in the
 13 future. Our main goal for the lawsuit itself is
 14 damages and what would have happened if we would
 15 have had a free market where the fighters were able
 16 to compete and see what their true value is worth
 17 and then change the exclusive contracts that the
 18 fighters are forced to be into if they want to fight
 19 in the biggest league in the world, especially in
 20 the United States, as well as not having to worry
 21 about being threatened, blacklisted, punished for
 22 not doing what they are told right out the gate.

23 As Joe Silva said one time, "If you don't
 24 like the first fight I offer you, you're sure as

47

25 shit not going to like the second one." Those types

1 and forced to sign, and if you don't want to sign
 2 that, you have nowhere else to go since UFC has
 3 bought up all the competition.

4 Q All right. Do you expect that if the
 5 antitrust lawsuit is successful that you would
 6 financially benefit by being -- from your role in
 7 the identity class?

8 A I have no idea. I'm not the -- I don't
 9 know what the numbers would be.

10 Q All right. Are you familiar with the
 11 concept of class representatives receiving bonus
 12 awards or other compensation?

13 A I have heard that, yes.

14 Q And have you heard that from anyone other
 15 than lawyers?

16 A At any time?

17 Q Sure.

18 A No. That's not something that comes up in
 19 average fighter speak conversations. We're talking
 20 more about kimuras and heel hooks and knock outs.

21 Q All right. And what is your understanding
 22 of the potential for a class representative in
 23 receiving any special award?

24 A I have no idea. I don't know how those

49

25 things work. And I sat down and considered joining

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 90</p> <p>1 talk about it at the time. The -- in terms of any 2 information you have about UFC engaging in conduct 3 that you consider punishment, blackballing, or 4 threatening, would that information come from 5 conversations you've had from other people, from the 6 complaint, or things you've read in MMA websites or other 7 other sources?</p> <p>8 MR. CRAMER: Objection to form.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't 10 understand the question.</p> <p>11 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>12 Q All right. I'm trying to -- you've talked 13 broadly about that you think the UFC has engaged in 14 punishments, blackballing and threats. Is the basis 15 for your opinions on that what you've read in the 16 complaint, what you've been told by other people and 17 things that you have read in MMA websites or other 18 sources?</p> <p>19 MR. CRAMER: Misstates the testimony. 20 Objection to form.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: My information about, my 22 feelings about what the UFC have done has come from 23 what I have personally seen, what I've heard, what 24 I've engaged in conversation with other fighters, 25 with what I've read on websites, I've read in</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 92</p> <p>1 to punish him to make sure all the other fighters 2 knew what would happen to you if you did act 3 inappropriately.</p> <p>4 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>5 Q All right. And when you say they chose 6 someone lower down the rung they could cut who 7 wasn't a draw to tell other fighters what would 8 happen if they didn't -- if they acted 9 inappropriately, that was your perception; correct?</p> <p>10 A That's common knowledge. And if you look 11 at -- the UFC will pick and choose who they're going 12 to promote, who they give the TV time to. I believe 13 the fighter that they cut had been doing well, he'd 14 lost a couple of fights, so it's -- we're all one 15 big group of fighters. We all sign essentially the 16 same contract. But the UFC does have people that 17 they pick and choose to treat better or worse. But 18 we're all just fighters.</p> <p>19 Q All right. Just so I understand your 20 testimony. When you say that's "common knowledge," 21 by that do you mean that's something you've talked 22 to a lot of fighters about?</p> <p>23 A I think common knowledge is a definition 24 onto itself. We all know what common knowledge 25 means.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 91</p> <p>1 forums, and the complaint.</p> <p>2 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>3 Q Okay. And in terms of what you've 4 personally seen, of what you would consider 5 punishment, blackballing or threats by UFC, is there 6 anything that you would tell me that you haven't 7 already told me about in this deposition?</p> <p>8 MR. CRAMER: Form. You may answer if you 9 can.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: Again, the punishment is 11 such a wide range of things. You have Jon Jones 12 getting into a car wreck with a pregnant woman, 13 fleeing the scene, and then being put on suspension. 14 Or you have Rampage Quinton Jackson doing 15 essentially the same thing. It's such a variation 16 of everything that's done.</p> <p>17 Forrest Griffin and Rashad Evans made 18 off-color comments, I think they were tweets or 19 Facebook comments or something, and then someone 20 lower down the rung in the UFC world made a comment 21 as well, no more offensive than what Rashad and 22 Forrest had said, and he was immediately cut, forced 23 to go into -- I think it was a rape awareness class 24 or something like that. They chose someone lower 25 down the rung that they could cut who wasn't a draw</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 93</p> <p>1 Q Okay. When you say the term that it's 2 common knowledge that the UFC conducts its business 3 a certain way, what do you mean by common knowledge? 4 Are you basing that on things you are told? Things 5 you read? Something else?</p> <p>6 A Well, if I said it's rainy in Oregon, 7 people would say that's common knowledge. You don't 8 have to fly to Oregon, stand in the rain to discover 9 it. These are just things that you know. So as 10 you're a fighter and you see how things are done and 11 handled, you have your own perception, and as more 12 and more people get this perception, it's what is 13 known as common knowledge.</p> <p>14 Q Right. And when you're speaking about 15 other people's, other fighter's perceptions, where 16 are you getting that information from?</p> <p>17 A It is all the web. They talk about 18 three -- what is that game where, you know two or 19 three people, you know everyone in the world. So 20 you talk to one or two fighters, they talk to one or 21 two fighters, they talk to one or two fighters, it 22 becomes kind of a consensus. Everyone feels the 23 same way and knows how things are done.</p> <p>24 Q All right. And for example, when you said 25 that the UFC chose someone lower down the rung to</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 186</p> <p>1 packages than MTV2, although I've lost both of them 2 due to Comcast. Although, I think that showed an 3 investment in wanting to grow Bellator a little 4 more.</p> <p>5 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>6 Q And when Bellator moved to Spike, did you 7 believe that the fights there were going to be 8 credible fights?</p> <p>9 MR. CRAMER: Foundation. Form. Vague.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: I believe they would be 11 better fights. Well, it all depends on the 12 investment that Spike makes into Bellator -- whoever 13 the owning company is decides to make into Bellator. 14 You can have an incredible fight at your local 15 garage. I've fought in warehouses and had 16 incredible fights. It was very subjective.</p> <p>17 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>18 Q Well, do you recall there was a debut with 19 Mr. Hawn and Mr. Chandler fighting.</p> <p>20 A What are their full names?</p> <p>21 Q Well, there's Rick Hawn, H-A-W-N.</p> <p>22 A Okay, Judo guy.</p> <p>23 Q Right.</p> <p>24 A Oh, and Michael Chandler, the wrestler?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">186</p> <p>25 Q Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 188</p> <p>1 Q Do you know if Bellator treats identity 2 rights differently from UFC in their -- with respect 3 to those two different sets of contracts?</p> <p>4 A I know nothing about Bellator contracts; I 5 have never seen a Bellator contract.</p> <p>6 Q Do you know if the periods of what you 7 call exclusivity are any different from what you 8 call UFC and Bellator contracts?</p> <p>9 A I know nothing about Bellator contracts. 10 I have never seen a Bellator contract.</p> <p>11 Q But -- and I've neglected to ask you this. 12 You've referred several times to UFC contracts being 13 "exclusive contracts." And when you say "an 14 exclusive contract," does that include a contract 15 where you agreed to do one fight for a period of 16 time with UFC and not to fight with someone else?</p> <p>17 MR. CRAMER: Objection to form. Objection 18 to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: My understanding was with 20 UFC contracts is you are restricted by time period 21 and, more specifically, a number of fights. That 22 time period never ends, as was shown in my contract. 23 I believe I have two fights left in my contract. 24 When I officially retired a year and a half after my 188 25 fight against Jorge Rivera, I retired on Spike TV.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 187</p> <p>1 A Uh-huh.</p> <p>2 Q And did you have a view as to the 3 significance of their being part of the -- their 4 being part of the debut of Bellator on Spike?</p> <p>5 MR. CRAMER: Objection form. Foundation.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: I believe I thought that 7 would be a great match up. I thought it would be an 8 exciting fight. Chandler ended up beating Hawn 9 really quickly, I think in the first round. And it 10 is of note that it was Chandler that I spoke to and 11 asked if he was happy in Bellator. And he told me, 12 no, he wanted to go to the UFC so he could compete 13 up against the best.</p> <p>14 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>15 Q And when did you speak to Chandler?</p> <p>16 A That was during -- he was a guest on my 17 show, MMA Uncensored. So that would be 2012 at some 18 point. We were in a bar after the show.</p> <p>19 Q And was he contractually precluded from 20 moving from Bellator to UFC?</p> <p>21 A I do not know his contracts. I do not 22 know Bellator's contracts. I've never fought for 23 Bellator. I've never seen a Bellator contract. I 24 do not know what the managers, the agents, the 187 25 owners of the company require.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 189</p> <p>1 Very shortly after that I received an e-mail from 2 the UFC informing me, "We have seen notice of your 3 retirement. Should you ever choose to come out of 4 retirement, you still owe us fights. We still have 5 you."</p> <p>6 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>7 Q All right. Is it your understanding that 8 the UFC contracts with fighters are perpetual?</p> <p>9 A Perpetual meaning moving forward?</p> <p>10 Q Forever.</p> <p>11 A My understanding with the UFC contracts 12 is, yes, if you don't fulfill your contract to the 13 letter of how they define the contract, it will 14 never end.</p> <p>15 Q All right. And when you refer to 16 exclusivity, are you referring -- and you spoke as 17 to how exclusivity is used to limit competition and 18 control fighters. Is that exclusivity for any 19 specific period of time that you're referring to?</p> <p>20 A The exclusivity would be for the period of 21 time of the contract.</p> <p>22 Q So is it your -- when you spoke earlier 23 about the UFC using exclusive contracts as a tool to 24 limit competition and control fighters, were you 189 25 basing that on your view that the contracts are</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 190</p> <p>1 perpetual or forever?</p> <p>2 A Yes. If you look at situations such as</p> <p>3 Cung Le, who decides he no longer wants to fight for</p> <p>4 the UFC due to the treatment, there is no clause</p> <p>5 where he can get out of his contract and say, "I was</p> <p>6 treated poorly; I want to go fight somewhere else."</p> <p>7 So his continuing never -- his contract never ends,</p> <p>8 no matter how much money he's offered or what; there</p> <p>9 is no clause.</p> <p>10 It's a very one-sided contract. And in</p> <p>11 cases where UFC will cut people, it's such a</p> <p>12 one-sided contract that they will sign you for three</p> <p>13 fights, eight fights, whatever it may be. You can</p> <p>14 never leave, but they can cut you whenever they want</p> <p>15 to, and they can suspend you if they want to and</p> <p>16 just put your contract on hold.</p> <p>17 Someone like John Jones, who I mentioned</p> <p>18 earlier, gets into a car accident, runs into a</p> <p>19 pregnant woman, flees the scene, he doesn't get cut,</p> <p>20 he gets suspended. Because if he was cut, then he</p> <p>21 would be on the open market, and being on the open</p> <p>22 market is a much better thing; it shows the actual</p> <p>23 true market value of a fighter.</p> <p>24 Q All right. And when you're referring to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">190</p> <p>25 the provisions of Cung Le's contract, you've not</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 192</p> <p>1 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>2 Q This is an interview of you on Bloody</p> <p>3 Elbow.com. Did you -- you did do an interview with</p> <p>4 Bloody Elbow.com around this time?</p> <p>5 A It sure looks like me.</p> <p>6 Q And did you see it after it came out?</p> <p>7 A I don't generally read my interviews or</p> <p>8 listen to my interviews.</p> <p>9 Q All right. Did you ever have any reason</p> <p>10 to believe that -- it says "by John Nash." Do you</p> <p>11 remember speaking to Mr. Nash?</p> <p>12 A I've spoken to Mr. Nash a few times. I</p> <p>13 don't recall specifically speaking to him on this</p> <p>14 occasion.</p> <p>15 Q Do you remember doing -- it says,</p> <p>16 "Recently I had the good fortune to speak at</p> <p>17 length."</p> <p>18 Do you remember having a conversation that</p> <p>19 you understood would be an interview on Bloody</p> <p>20 Elbow?</p> <p>21 A Not specifically.</p> <p>22 Q All right. So on the third page?</p> <p>23 MR. CRAMER: If you're going to ask</p> <p>24 questions about this, you should allow Mr. Quarry to</p> <p style="text-align: right;">192</p> <p>25 have an opportunity to read it.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 191</p> <p>1 actually seen those provisions, have you?</p> <p>2 A I have not. I know that the UFC contracts</p> <p>3 are mostly cookie cutter contracts. There's very</p> <p>4 little variation in all of them. Much like a cookie</p> <p>5 cutter, they all look the same. You may throw a</p> <p>6 little sprinkles on one, a little frosting on the</p> <p>7 other, but for the basis, all of the contracts are</p> <p>8 generally exactly the same.</p> <p>9 MR. ISAACSON: All right. We will mark</p> <p>10 this as the next number.</p> <p>11 (Exhibit 14 was marked.)</p> <p>12 MR. ISAACSON: That goes to you, Eric.</p> <p>13 MR. CRAMER: Thank you.</p> <p>14 MR. ISAACSON: What are we up to?</p> <p>15 THE COURT REPORTER: 14.</p> <p>16 MR. CRAMER: Thank you.</p> <p>17 MR. ISAACSON: Thank you.</p> <p>18 Quarry 14 is a -- printed off of the web</p> <p>19 from Bloody Elbow.com.</p> <p>20 MR. CRAMER: Appreciate you did this in</p> <p>21 color.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: That's nice. Can I keep</p> <p>23 this?</p> <p>24 MR. ISAACSON: We'll get you another copy.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">191</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 193</p> <p>1 So take your time and review it and read</p> <p>2 it.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Do we know what the date of</p> <p>4 this interview was?</p> <p>5 BY MR. ISAACSON:</p> <p>6 Q Well, it's posted June 28, 2013. It's on</p> <p>7 the first page.</p> <p>8 A Oh, okay. Okay.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. On the third page of the document,</p> <p>10 where the question is, "So the source of the problem</p> <p>11 is with the fact that UFC is so big," and you talk</p> <p>12 about Dana White and him paying for your back</p> <p>13 surgery, which we talked about before.</p> <p>14 A Uh-huh.</p> <p>15 Q Do you see that?</p> <p>16 A Uh-huh. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q And you say, "I'll always be indebted to</p> <p>18 Dana White for helping me there. And I know he's</p> <p>19 done that over and over again for other guys."</p> <p>20 That was a true statement; correct?</p> <p>21 A That's an assumption. I believe he's done</p> <p>22 that for other guys.</p> <p>23 Q And now, at this point, by June 2013, you</p> <p>24 have -- you've already concluded that the UFC is not</p> <p style="text-align: right;">193</p> <p>25 treating its fighters appropriately; correct?</p>

Page 294			Page 296		
1	2	3	4	5	6
1 A I do not recognize Full Combat	2 Supplements, LaCrosse Footwear.	3	4 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS	5 PAGE LINE CHANGE	6 REASON
7 Q LaCrosse Footwear?	8	9	10	11	12
4 A I don't think so. I think I recognize the	5 rest. Gary Ibarra would definitely know more than I	6 would about sponsors.	7	8	9
7 Q And why would you be unfamiliar, or why	8 would Mr. Ibarra be more familiar with your sponsors	9 than you?	10	11	12
10 A Because he was my agent, and he would get	11 me the sponsors, and put them on my gear, and on my	12 banners, and then collect the funds, generally. So	13	14	15
13 I didn't have to have very much interaction with any	14 this. The sponsors just wanted to use me as	15 advertising space.	16	17	18
16 Q All right. So if you got a complete list	17 of your sponsors during your time of your fighting	18 career, you would not recognize all of the sponsors	19	20	21
20 on there?	21	22	23	24	25
21 A Most likely I would not.	22	23	24	25	26
22 MR. ISAACSON: All right. Thanks for your	23 time today, sir.	24	25	26	27
23 MR. CRAMER: We have no questions.	24	25	26	27	28
24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the	25	26	27	28	29
25	26	27	28	29	30
26	27	28	29	30	31
27	28	29	30	31	32
28	29	30	31	32	33
29	30	31	32	33	34
30	31	32	33	34	35
31	32	33	34	35	36
32	33	34	35	36	37
33	34	35	36	37	38
34	35	36	37	38	39
35	36	37	38	39	40
36	37	38	39	40	41
37	38	39	40	41	42
38	39	40	41	42	43
39	40	41	42	43	44
40	41	42	43	44	45
41	42	43	44	45	46
42	43	44	45	46	47
43	44	45	46	47	48
44	45	46	47	48	49
45	46	47	48	49	50
46	47	48	49	50	51
47	48	49	50	51	52
48	49	50	51	52	53
49	50	51	52	53	54
50	51	52	53	54	55
51	52	53	54	55	56
52	53	54	55	56	57
53	54	55	56	57	58
54	55	56	57	58	59
55	56	57	58	59	60
56	57	58	59	60	61
57	58	59	60	61	62
58	59	60	61	62	63
59	60	61	62	63	64
60	61	62	63	64	65
61	62	63	64	65	66
62	63	64	65	66	67
63	64	65	66	67	68
64	65	66	67	68	69
65	66	67	68	69	70
66	67	68	69	70	71
67	68	69	70	71	72
68	69	70	71	72	73
69	70	71	72	73	74
70	71	72	73	74	75
71	72	73	74	75	76
72	73	74	75	76	77
73	74	75	76	77	78
74	75	76	77	78	79
75	76	77	78	79	80
76	77	78	79	80	81
77	78	79	80	81	82
78	79	80	81	82	83
79	80	81	82	83	84
80	81	82	83	84	85
81	82	83	84	85	86
82	83	84	85	86	87
83	84	85	86	87	88
84	85	86	87	88	89
85	86	87	88	89	90
86	87	88	89	90	91
87	88	89	90	91	92
88	89	90	91	92	93
89	90	91	92	93	94
90	91	92	93	94	95
91	92	93	94	95	96
92	93	94	95	96	97
93	94	95	96	97	98
94	95	96	97	98	99
95	96	97	98	99	100
96	97	98	99	100	101
97	98	99	100	101	102
98	99	100	101	102	103
99	100	101	102	103	104
100	101	102	103	104	105
101	102	103	104	105	106
102	103	104	105	106	107
103	104	105	106	107	108
104	105	106	107	108	109
105	106	107	108	109	110
106	107	108	109	110	111
107	108	109	110	111	112
108	109	110	111	112	113
109	110	111	112	113	114
110	111	112	113	114	115
111	112	113	114	115	116
112	113	114	115	116	117
113	114	115	116	117	118
114	115	116	117	118	119
115	116	117	118	119	120
116	117	118	119	120	121
117	118	119	120	121	122
118	119	120	121	122	123
119	120	121	122	123	124
120	121	122	123	124	125
121	122	123	124	125	126
122	123	124	125	126	127
123	124	125	126	127	128
124	125	126	127	128	129
125	126	127	128	129	130
126	127	128	129	130	131
127	128	129	130	131	132
128	129	130	131	132	133
129	130	131	132	133	134
130	131	132	133	134	135
131	132	133	134	135	136
132	133	134	135	136	137
133	134	135	136	137	138
134	135	136	137	138	139
135	136	137	138	139	140
136	137	138	139	140	141
137	138	139	140	141	142
138	139	140	141	142	143
139	140	141	142	143	144
140	141	142	143	144	145
141	142	143	144	145	146
142	143	144	145	146	147
143	144	145	146	147	148
144	145	146	147	148	149
145	146	147	148	149	150
146	147	148	149	150	151
147	148	149	150	151	152
148	149	150	151	152	153
149	150	151	152	153	154
150	151	152	153	154	155
151	152	153	154	155	156
152	153	154	155	156	157
153	154	155	156	157	158
154	155	156	157	158	159
155	156	157	158	159	160
156	157	158	159	160	161
157	158	159	160	161	162
158	159	160	161	162	163
159	160	161	162	163	164
160	161	162	163	164	165
161	162	163	164	165	166
162	163	164	165	166	167
163	164	165	166	167	168
164	165	166	167	168	169
165	166	167	168	169	170
166	167	168	169	170	171
167	168	169	170	171	172
168	169	170	171	172	173
169	170	171	172	173	174
170	171	172	173	174	175
171	172	173	174	175	176
172	173	174	175	176	177
173	174	175	176	177	178
174	175	176	177	178	179
175	176	177	178	179	180
176	177	178	179	180	181
177	178	179	180	181	182
178	179	180	181	182	183
179	180	181	182	183	184
180	181	182	183	184	185
181	182	183	184	185	186
182	183	184	185	186	187
183	184	185	186	187	188
184	185	186	187	188	189
185	186	187	188	189	190
186	187	188	189	190	191
187	188	189	190	191	192
188	189	190	191	192	193
189	190	191	192	193	194
190	191	192	193	194	195
191	192	193	194	195	196
192	193	194	195	196	197
193	194	195	196	197	198
194	195	196	197	198	199
195	196	197	198	199	200
196	197	198	199	200	201
197	198	199	200	201	202
198	199	200	201	202	203
199	200	201	202	203	204
200	201	202	203	204	205
201	202	203	204	205	206
202	203	204	205	206	207
203	204	205	206	207	208
204	205	206	207	208	209
205	206	207	208	209	210
206	207	208	209	210	211
207	208	209	210	211	212
208	209	210	211	212	213
209	210	211	212	213	214
210	211	212	213	214	215
211	212	213	214	215	216
212	213	214	215	216	217
213	214	215	216	217	218
214	215	216	217	218	219
215	216	217	218	219	220
216	217	218	219	220	221
217	218	219	220	221	222
218	219	220	221	222	223
219	220	221	222	223	224
220	221	222	223	224	225
221	222	223	224	225	226
222	223	224	225	226	227
223	224	225	226	227	228
224	225	226	227	228	229
225	226	227	228	229	230
226	227	228	229	230	231
227	228	229	230	231	232
228	229	230	231	232	233
229	230	231	232	233	234
230	231	232	233	234	235
231	232	233	234	235	236
232	233	234	235	236	237
233	234	235	236	237	238
234	235	236	237	238	239
235	236	237	238	239	240
236	237	238	239	240	241
237	238	239	240	241	242
238	239	240	241	242	243
239	240	241	242	243	244
240	241	242	243	244	245
241	242	243	244	245	246
242	243	244	245	246	247
243	244	245	246	247	248
244	245	246	247	248	249
245	246	247	248	249	250
246	247	248	249	250	251
247	248	249	250	251	252
248	249	250	251	252	253
249	250</				